

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

JEREMIA GILLO,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

THE HONORABLE PETE BUTTIGIEG,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:22-cv-00857-CDS-NJK

ORDER

[Docket No. 12]

Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's motion for leave to amend. Docket No. 12. As a threshold matter, it is not clear that leave of Court is required for Plaintiff to amend given that no defendant has appeared in the case. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1); *see also Vanguard Outdoor, LLC v. City of Los Angeles*, 648 F.3d 737, 748 (9th Cir. 2011). To the extent leave is required, the motion contains no points and authorities or meaningfully developed argument. *But see* Local Rule 7-2(d); *Kor Media Grp., LLC v. Green*, 294 F.R.D. 579, 582 n.3 (D. Nev. 2013). Accordingly, the motion for leave to amend is DENIED without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 19, 2022



Nancy J. Koppe
United States Magistrate Judge